

# **Toward Creating Investor Friendly XBRL: Analysis of 403 SEC XBRL Filings**

Draft, dated 2009-11-28

## **Editors/Authors**

**Name**  
Charles Hoffman

**Contact**  
CharlesHoffman@olywa.net

## **Abstract**

In order to better understand XBRL, an analysis of 403 SEC XBRL submissions was undertaken. This document summarizes this analysis and conclusions reached from this analysis in the form of suggestions for creating investor friendly SEC XBRL submissions.

## **License**

This document is available under a Creative Common Public Domain license and is free for others to use without attribute and without restriction. For more information see the Creative Commons web site: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/us/>

## **Status**

This document is a draft. Circulation of this draft is unrestricted.

## Table of Contents

1	Introduction .....	3
1.1	Overview of Analysis .....	3
1.2	Summary and Analysis of Results .....	4
1.3	Top 10 Errors to Avoid in Creating Your SEC XBRL Filings .....	5
2	Analysis and Discussion of Results .....	6
2.1	Errors .....	6
2.2	Calculation Inconsistencies .....	7
2.3	Test XBRL Formula .....	10
2.4	Test Information Model Relations.....	11
3	Top 10 Errors to Avoid in Creating Your SEC XBRL Filings.....	15
4	Tables of Information .....	17

# 1 Introduction

In order to better understand XBRL, an analysis of 403 SEC XBRL submissions was undertaken. This document summarizes this analysis and conclusions reached from this analysis in the form of suggestions for creating investor friendly SEC XBRL submissions.

## 1.1 Overview of Analysis

The following is a summary of the analysis undertaken. The detailed results of this analysis are located here on the web:

<http://www.xbrlsite.com/demos/Analysis/2009-11-15/Viewer/>

The SEC XBRL filings for this analysis were 10-K and 10-Q submissions between September 15, 2009 and November 10, 2009 as indicated by the XBRL Cloud EDGAR Dashboard, what amounts to a feed which lists all SEC XBRL Submissions. See:

<http://edgardashboard.xbrlcloud.com/edgar-all-index.html>

(Note that the SEC does not provide a comprehensive list of SEC filings or that list would have been use. The SEC only provides a list of the last 100 filings. XBRL Cloud basically consolidates that list, so effectively the two lists should be the same.)

The following is a summary of the analysis performed:

- **Errors:** XBRL Cloud reports a number of errors which are believed to be, by XBRL Cloud, correct implementation of EDGAR Filer Manual rules. This may, or may not be the case. However, there is no evidence that XBRL Cloud is incorrect. As such, that set of validation results was used as a benchmark to examine SEC XBRL Filings. No other vendors makes their EFM validation public. If this information were public, further investigation would be possible to better identify what amount to interoperability issues between the SEC, other software vendors, and what XBRL Cloud indicates as "valid XBRL" per the SEC EFM.
- **Calculation Inconsistencies:** XBRL Cloud reports calculation inconsistencies which result from validating SEC XBRL Filings. These inconsistencies are XBRL calculations expressed in the filer's XBRL taxonomy but the XBRL instance as fact value which express numeric relations which are inconsistent with what is expressed by the filers XBRL taxonomy. It is not a foregone conclusion that calculation inconsistencies are errors. Further analysis would be necessarily to definitely identify why calculations are inconsistent.
- **Test Formula:** XBRL calculations are insufficient to evaluate all computation relations within an XBRL filing. One test XBRL Formula was created in order to gain insight on how one non-XBRL calculation type computation. The XBRL Formula chosen was the roll forward in cash per the cash flow statement as a high number of SEC XBRL filers had this relation or it was deemed that they should have had this relation within their 10-Q or 10-K. The purpose of this one test was to determine if the actual results received were consistent with expected results and to then look at the unexpected results to see the reason for the difference. It is believed that insight gained from looking at this one XBRL Formula could provide insight into how certain things are being constructed within filer taxonomies in general.
- **Test Information Model Relations:** One test of consistency with the US GAAP Taxonomy information model was created. The test chosen was the relation between [Table], [Axis], and [Line Items] with filer XBRL taxonomies as compared to how those relations are expressed within the US GAAP Taxonomy Architecture document and the US GAAP Taxonomy itself. Further, as the US GAAP Taxonomy uses [Table]s on each of the primary financial statements and all filers report the primary financial statements then [Table]s should exist in each SEC XBRL filing. It is believed that insight gained from looking at one information modeling can provide insight into how

other information modeling structures (i.e. such as a [Roll Forward]) are being created within a filer taxonomy in general.

## 1.2 Summary and Analysis of Results

The following is a summary of the analysis which was performed.

- **Errors:**
  - Of 403 filings analyzed, 28 produced XBRL Cloud validation errors and 375 produced zero XBRL Cloud validation errors.
  - These errors were not detected by SEC validation upon submission for a number possible reasons including different interpretations of the EFM by XBRL Cloud and the SEC validator, different interpretations by a software vendor and XBRL Cloud, and other such issues.
  - These issues should eventually be resolved as the SEC validation conformance suite continues to be built out, software vendors resolve these interoperability issues, etc.
  - This list does NOT mean that the filer had an error, but rather it identifies possible software interoperability issues which should be resolved.
- **Calculation Inconsistencies:**
  - Of 403 filings analyzed, 90 produced XBRL calculations inconsistencies, 313 produced no calculation inconsistencies.
  - This does NOT necessarily mean that the calculations of the 90 filers are errors, but rather point to filings which are being investigated further to determine if calculations are valid.
- **Test Formula:**
  - Of 403 filings analyzed, only 55 produced XBRL Formula validation results which were different than the expected results, 348 produced the expected results.
  - This does NOT mean that the filer had an error, but rather it identified where a filer used different concepts then were provided for this roll forward in the US GAAP Taxonomy, or they changed a computation in some manner, or the added additional concepts to the computation for some reason.
- **Test Information Model Relations:**
  - Of 403 filings analyzed, 27 have used the [Table], [Axis], [Line Items] concepts consistently with the way the US GAAP Taxonomy was created and consistent with the US GAAP Taxonomy Architecture (see section 4.5 Implementation of Tables, page 38), 376 do not.
  - This analysis is making no judgment as to what is correct or incorrect, only pointing out the wide variety that [Table]s are being used.
  - There appears to be no guidance coming from the SEC or XBRL US as to how to properly model [Table]s, thus the variability.
  - It is highly likely there are similar issues relating to the [Roll Forward] and other information modeling patterns within the US GAAP Taxonomy and filer extension taxonomies.

Additional details of the results above can be found at the web site previously pointed out.

### ***1.3 Top 10 Errors to Avoid in Creating Your SEC XBRL Filings***

Be sure to check out the section later in this document which helps you try and avoid errors such as those detected in this analysis. See section 3.

DRAFT

## 2 Analysis and Discussion of Results

The purpose of this section is to provide additional insight and details relating to each analysis area. This information is helpful in interpreting the results.

### 2.1 Errors

Theoretically, and eventually most likely, the validation results of SEC XBRL filings produced by the SEC, by other software vendors, and by XBRL Cloud should be exactly the same. The validation results provided by XBRL Cloud point out interoperability issues between software processing these XBRL filings.

A similar situation was experienced with XBRL itself in versions prior to XBRL 2.1 and in the early stages of XBRL 2.1. When a conformance suite was implemented which each software vendor could use to test their software, the differences in validation results dropped dramatically. Today, XBRL 2.1 can be considered quite interoperable by software applications which pass the XBRL 2.1 conformance suite.

The SEC has endeavored to create their own conformance suite, the SEC Interactive Data Test Suite (see section A References). This test suite is already having a very good impact on interoperability.

The current validation which implements the SEC Interactive Data Test Suite went live on about September 18, 2009. As a result, the errors pointed out by XBRL Cloud dropped significantly. This trend is anticipated to continue to the point where no interoperability issues exist. Issues will likely appear from time-to-time, but the issues will likely be manageable and eventually addressed within the SEC Interactive Data Test Suite.

This is a summary of the errors reported by XBRL Cloud:

FilerName	CIK	AsseccentionNumber	Errors	Creation Software
MICROSOFT CORP	0000789019	0001193125-09-212454	38	EDGAR Online
EXELON CORP	0001109357	0001193125-09-212818	35	EDGAR Online
WELLPOINT INC	0001156039	0001193125-09-216157	30	EDGAR Online
ALTRIA GROUP, INC.	0000764180	0001193125-09-217203	28	EDGAR Online
Oracle Corporation	0001341439	0001193125-09-195193	28	UBmatrix
Aflac Incorporated	0000004977	0000950123-09-059412	18	Rivet
Halliburton Company	0000045012	0000045012-09-000390	13	EDGARFilings
PEABODY ENERGY CORP	0001064728	0000950123-09-058979	7	Rivet
EL PASO CORP/DE	0001066107	0000950123-09-059588	6	Rivet
V F CORP	0000103379	0000950123-09-060619	6	Rivet
RRI ENERGY INC	0001126294	0000950123-09-058104	5	Rivet
Vulcan Materials CO	0001396009	0000950123-09-058668	5	Rivet
WATERS CORP /DE/	0001000697	0000950123-09-058936	5	Rivet
SOUTHERN CO	0000003153	0000092122-09-000089	5	Rivet
ARCH COAL INC	0001037676	0000950123-09-060325	5	Rivet
CONAGRA FOODS INC /DE/	0000023217	0000950123-09-048892	4	Bowne
YUM BRANDS INC	0001041061	0001041061-09-000240	3	EDGARFilings
GRAINGER W W INC,	0000277135	0000277135-09-000043	2	EDGARFilings
ADOBE SYSTEMS INC	0000796343	0000796343-09-000032	2	Bowne
Public Storage	0001393311	0001393311-09-000035	2	Rivet
DIRECTV GROUP INC	0000944868	0001047469-09-009634	1	Rivet
FedEx Corporation	0001048911	0000950123-09-044076	1	EDGARFilings
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC	0001090872	0001140361-09-022500	1	EDGARFilings
PROCTER & GAMBLE CO	0000080424	0001193125-09-217067	1	EDGAR Online
Liberty Media Corporation and Subsidiaries	0001355096	0001047469-09-009800	1	Rivet
EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO	0000915389	0000915389-09-000070	1	EDGARFilings
General Electric Company	0000040545	0000040545-09-000074	1	Clarity
ARCHER DANIELS MIDLAND CO	0000007084	0000007084-09-000061	1	Fujitsu
		Total	255	

This is a stratification of the errors reported:

Strata	Filings	Percent of Total
Filings with more than 11 errors per filing	7	2%
Filings with between 6 and 10	3	1%
Filings with between 4 and 5	6	1%
Filings with between 2 and 3	4	1%
Filings with only 1 error	8	2%
Filings with NO ERRORS	375	93%
	<b>403</b>	<b>100%</b>

## 2.2 Calculation Inconsistencies

The SEC allows XBRL instances with calculation inconsistencies to pass through the SEC XBRL submission validation process. As such, calculation inconsistencies can, and do, exist.

However, of the 403 filings analyzed, 313 had no such inconsistencies. A logical question would be, why can 313 filings be created without calculation inconsistencies and 90 others need to contain such inconsistencies.

Of the 90 filings with calculation inconsistencies, a number were looked at and have what appear to be true calculation errors.

This is a stratification of the calculation inconsistencies found reported:

Strata	Filings	Percent of Total
Filings with more than 30 calculation inconsistencies per filing	3	1%
Filings with between 20 and 29	5	1%
Filings with between 10 and 19	12	3%
Filings with between 2 and 9	65	16%
Filings with only 1 error	5	1%
Filings with NO ERRORS	313	78%
	<b>403</b>	<b>100%</b>

Here are two examples to show why these can be seen as errors:

### Nobel Corporation:

- 10-Q: <http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1169055/000095012309058947/c91841e10vq.htm>
- XBRL Instance: <http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1169055/000095012309058947/ne-20090930.xml>
- Calculation report: [http://www.xbrlsite.com/demos/Analysis/2009-11-15/Viewer/Calculations/0000950123-09-058947\\_Calctrace.html](http://www.xbrlsite.com/demos/Analysis/2009-11-15/Viewer/Calculations/0000950123-09-058947_Calctrace.html)

This is a fragment of the balance sheet within the Nobel Corporation 10-Q. It shows the shareholder's equity section of the balance sheet. All these columns of numbers add up, as would be expected:

**Shareholders' equity**

Shares — par value 4.90 Swiss francs per share; 414,399 shares authorized; 138,133 shares conditionally authorized, 276,266 shares issued and 261,825 shares outstanding as of September 30, 2009	1,141,611	—	—	—
Ordinary shares — par value \$.10 per share; 400,000 shares authorized; 261,246 shares and 261,899 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively	—	26,190	26,125	26,190
Capital in excess of par value	—	402,115	368,374	402,115
Treasury Stock; 2,000 shares	(69,430)	—	—	—
Retained earnings	5,398,530	4,919,667	6,141,393	4,919,667
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(53,771)	(57,257)	(53,771)	(57,257)
<b>Total shareholders' equity</b>	<b>6,416,940</b>	<b>5,290,715</b>	<b>6,482,121</b>	<b>5,290,715</b>
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 8,089,375</b>	<b>\$ 7,106,799</b>	<b>\$ 8,148,674</b>	<b>\$ 7,106,799</b>

This is a fragment of the calculation report from above which is a result of validating the XBRL instance also linked to above. If you run the XBRL instance through any XBRL validator, you should get similar results. This portion of the validation report relates to the left most column in the screen shot above.

us-gaap:LiabilitiesAndStockholdersEquity		NA	-3	[7]	8089375000	8,089,375,000	Instance	OK
us-gaap:StockholdersEquityIncludingPortionAttributableToNoncontrollingInterest	1.0	NA	-3	[7]	6416940000	5,414,189,000	Instance	Inconsistency found, calculated value is 5,414,189,000
us-gaap:CommonStockValue	1.0	credit	-3	[0]	0	0	Instance	
us-gaap:AdditionalPaidInCapital	1.0	credit	-3	[0]	0	0	Instance	
us-gaap:RetainedEarningsAccumulatedDeficit	1.0	credit	-3	[7]	5398530000	5,398,530,000	Instance	
us-gaap:TreasuryStockValue	-1.0	debit	-3	[5]	-69430000	-69,430,000	Instance	
us-gaap:AccumulatedOtherComprehensiveIncomeLossNetOfTax	1.0	credit	-3	[5]	-53771000	-53,771,000	Instance	

The validator reports an inconsistency between what it calculated as the value and the value shown in the XBRL instance for the second concept on the calculation report, basically total stockholder's equity. There appear to be two errors which create a total net difference of 1,002,751,000. First, the value 1,141,611 is NOT in the calculation report, second, the value 69,430 is entered as a negative number in the XBRL instance, it SHOULD have been entered as positive.

**CENTERPOINT ENERGY INC**

- 10-Q: <http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1130310/000113031009000049/form10-q.htm>
- XBRL Instance: <http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1130310/000113031009000049/cnp-20090930.xml>
- Calculation report: [http://www.xbrl-site.com/demos/Analysis/2009-11-15/Viewer/Calculations/0001130310-09-000049\\_Calctrace.html](http://www.xbrl-site.com/demos/Analysis/2009-11-15/Viewer/Calculations/0001130310-09-000049_Calctrace.html)

This shows a fragment of the 10 Q, the current assets portion of the balance sheet:

	December 31, 2008	September 30, 2009
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>Current Assets:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 167	\$ 61
Investment in marketable securities	218	286
Accounts receivable, net	1,009	609
Accrued unbilled revenues	541	161
Natural gas inventory	441	225
Materials and supplies	128	148
Non-trading derivative assets	118	50
Taxes receivable	-	108
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	413	347
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>3,035</b>	<b>1,995</b>



This shows the calculation validation report:

us-gaap:Assets		NA	-6	[5]	18653000000	18,653,000,000	Instance	OK
us-gaap:AssetsCurrent	1.0	NA	-6	[4]	1995000000	1,887,000,000	Instance	Inconsistency found, calculated value is 1,887,000,000
us-gaap:CashAndCashEquivalentsAtCarryingValue	1.0	debit	-6	[2]	61000000	61,000,000	Instance	
us-gaap:MarketableSecuritiesCurrent	1.0	debit	-6	[3]	286000000	286,000,000	Instance	
us-gaap:AccountsReceivableNetCurrent	1.0	debit	-6	[3]	609000000	609,000,000	Instance	
cnp:AccruedUnbilledRevenues	1.0		-6	[3]	161000000	161,000,000	Instance	
us-gaap:EnergyRelatedInventoryNaturalGasInStorage	1.0	debit	-6	[3]	225000000	225,000,000	Instance	
cnp:MaterialsAndSupplies	1.0		-6	[3]	148000000	148,000,000	Instance	
us-gaap:DerivativeAssetsCurrent	1.0	debit	-6	[2]	50000000	50,000,000	Instance	
us-gaap:OtherAssetsCurrent	1.0	debit	-6	[3]	347000000	347,000,000	Instance	

A second, a little easier to read, validator shows the same calculation inconsistency:

54	Context c00005[at 2009-09-30 for 0001130310]						
55	u000						
56	us-gaap:Assets		D	D -6	18,653,000,000	both	OK
57	us-gaap:AssetsCurrent	1	D	D -6	1,995,000,000	inst	Inconsistency
				D -6	1,887,000,000	comp	
58	us-gaap:CashAndCashEquivalentsAtCarryingValue	1	D	D -6	61,000,000	inst	
59	us-gaap:MarketableSecuritiesCurrent	1	D	D -6	286,000,000	inst	
60	us-gaap:AccountsReceivableNetCurrent	1	D	D -6	609,000,000	inst	
61	cnp:AccruedUnbilledRevenues	1	D	D -6	161,000,000	inst	
62	us-gaap:EnergyRelatedInventoryNaturalGasInStorage	1	D	D -6	225,000,000	inst	
63	cnp:MaterialsAndSupplies	1	D	D -6	148,000,000	inst	
64	us-gaap:DerivativeAssetsCurrent	1	D	D -6	50,000,000	inst	
65	us-gaap:OtherAssetsCurrent	1	D	D -6	347,000,000	inst	

The difference between what the XBRL validator calculated and what is in the XBRL instance for current assets is 108,000,000.

The line item which shows up on the balance sheet TAXES RECEIVABLE of 108,000,000 does NOT show up in the calculation report. Therefore there is a calculation inconsistency on the 2009 consolidated balance sheet. The concept us-gaap:IncomeTaxesReceivable in the XBRL instance does, in fact, contain the value 108,000,000 and does exist in the XBRL instance.

This is a screen shot of the calculation relations in the filer taxonomy:

cnp Taxonomy Element Finder (Calculation)	
Calculation View	
Extended Link (200 - Statement - CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS)	
Total Assets	
(1) Total current assets	
(1) Cash and cash equivalents	
(1) Marketable Securities, Current	
(1) Investment in Time Warner common stock	
(1) Accounts receivable, net	
(1) Accrued unbilled revenues	
(1) Energy Related Inventory, Natural Gas in Storage	
(1) Materials and supplies	
(1) Inventory, Net	
(1) Derivative Assets, Current	
(1) Prepaid expenses and other current assets	

(Further, not related to the calculation issue but it does reflect on the care in creating the XBRL filing, there are two concepts which were created by the filer which obviously should have balance attribute values of either debit or credit (debit most likely in this case): cnp:AccruedUnbilledRevenues and cnp:MaterialsAndSupplies.)

A complete analysis of all 90 calculation inconsistencies was not undertaken. However, many of the 90 are believed to be true errors which could have been avoided by removing errors from the taxonomy or from the instance.

There is another class of calculation inconsistencies which may result. These are calculation inconsistencies which cannot be made to disappear from the calculation validation report. The following are known reasons which such calculation inconsistencies exist:

- The filer chooses not to report certain fact values which, if reported, would make the calculation inconsistencies disappear.
- The filer has what amounts to "stray" values being reported which cause calculations to fire where they should not fire.

At this point further analysis and experience is deemed necessary to determine if all calculation inconsistencies can be made to disappear, yielding no calculation inconsistencies.

Data quality is enhanced by using calculations validation to make sure data quality is what it needs to be. Allowing calculation inconsistencies to exist yields this validation mostly impotent in helping to achieve the quality levels desired. On the other hand, if it is truly not possible to make all calculation inconsistencies disappear from a validation report and requiring clean calculation validation reports; a filer may not be able to submit a valid filing.

## 2.3 Test XBRL Formula

XBRL calculations are insufficient for testing all computations which exist within an XBRL instance. This is because XBRL calculations only work for fact value within the same context. There are computation relations, such as a roll forward and a dimensional aggregation, which has fact values across contexts. As such, XBRL Formulas are needed to express computation relations not covered by XBRL calculations.

To test how one of these [Roll Forward] relations was working and what impacted XBRL Formula validation results, an XBRL Formula was created for one common [Roll Forward] relation. (There are hundreds of these relations, doing all would be too time consuming.)

The [Roll Forward] selected was the reconciliation of the balance of cash on the cash flow statement. In summary form, the XBRL Formula is this:

$$\text{Beginning Balance of Cash} + \text{Changes in Cash} = \text{Ending Balance of Cash}$$

There are more subtleties to this XBRL Formula, I will get into these as the detailed results are analyzed.

Here is a summary of the results that I found for these 403 filings relating to this one XBRL Formula:

- 348 filings showed two satisfied formulas, which is what I would have expected
- 15 filings used some other concept than the concept provided by the US GAAP Taxonomy cash flow statement (us-gaap:CashAndCashEquivalentsAtCarryingValue). These included: us-gaap:CashAndDueFromBanks, us-gaap:Cash, us-gaap:CashCashEquivalentsAndShortTermInvestments
- 9 filings put the concept us-gaap:EffectOfExchangeRateOnCashAndCashEquivalents in a different place than the US GAAP Taxonomy in the calculation (for more information see: <http://xbrl.squarespace.com/journal/2009/11/24/issue-relating-to-effect-of-exchange-rate-on-cash-and-cash-e.html>)
- 8 filings did something really nasty, a bad practice in my book, but creating distinct concepts for the beginning and ending cash balances, rather than following what the US GAAP Taxonomy does literally hundreds of times which is creating one concept and using context to differentiate the balances at different periods
- 4 filings created custom concept for net changes in cash, replacing the US GAAP Taxonomy concept

- 4 filings changed the computation relating to cash flows relating to discontinued operations
- 3 filings added a concept for cash flows relating to assets held for sale (which probably should be a taxonomy fix)
- 2 filings had tagging errors
- 2 filings added VIE related cash flows (again, this probably should be an adjustment to the US GAAP Taxonomy)
- 2 filings created custom concept for cash balance concept
- 1 filing had a zero balance for cash and did not put in a concept that cash balance
- 1 filing had a funky presentation of cash which I would have never anticipated and is different than all other filers
- 1 filing had a rounding error in both their human readable version and their XBRL
- 1 filing had cash classified as a liability because they had a bank overdraft, which was done correctly but I did not anticipate
- 1 filing did not disclose the balances of cash on their cash flow statement; they did have balances on the balance sheet, but a beginning balance which was needed to get two roll forwards was nowhere to be found
- 1 filing needs additional work, I cannot figure out what is going on, but something is causing the formula to not work correctly

## 2.4 Test Information Model Relations

The information model test takes a look at how [Table]s are structured within the filer XBRL extension taxonomies. Per the US GAAP Taxonomy Architecture (see section 4.5 Implementation of Tables, page 38 of the PDF: <http://xbrl.us/Documents/SECOFM-USGAAPT-Architecture-20080428.pdf>).

There are rules for creating a [Table]. These rules are consistently followed by the US GAAP Taxonomy. These rules are referred to by the US GAAP Taxonomy as styles. There are other styles such as the [Roll Forward] which are likewise modeled consistently.

Two rules for tables are the following:

- All [Table]s MUST have at least one [Axis].
- All [Table]s MUST have exactly one [Line Items].
- A [Table] was expected for each primary financial statement at a minimum

The test of the information model looked at the 403 filer taxonomies to see if they were following these two specific rules.

Here is an example of three filers who's XBRL extension taxonomies had results which were expected:

Citigroup (results where as expected):

**Information Model Validation for Citigroup Inc. 0001047469-09-009754**[Go to Presentation Network](#)

Network	Table	Has [Axis]	Has [Line Items]
0010 - Statement - CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME (CITIGROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES)	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
0015 - Statement - CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME PARENTHETICAL (CITIGROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES)	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
0020 - Statement - CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (CITIGROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES)	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
0025 - Statement - CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET PARENTHETICAL (CITIGROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES)	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
0030 - Statement - CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY (CITIGROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES)	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
0035 - Statement - CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY PARENTHETICAL (CITIGROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES)	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
0040 - Statement - CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (CITIGROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES)	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
0050 - Statement - CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (CITIBANK, N.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES)	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
0055 - Statement - CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET PARENTHETICAL (CITIBANK, N.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES)	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
1010 - Disclosure - BASIS OF PRESENTATION	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
1020 - Disclosure - DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
1030 - Disclosure - BUSINESS SEGMENTS	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
1040 - Disclosure - INTEREST REVENUE AND EXPENSE	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
1050 - Disclosure - COMMISSIONS AND FEES	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
1060 - Disclosure - RETIREMENT BENEFITS	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
1070 - Disclosure - RESTRUCTURING	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes

Note that this filer made particularly good use of [Table]s modeling every concept reported within a [Table] and therefore within an XBRL Dimensions hypercube. What this means is that every dimension (called an [Axis] in the US GAAP Taxonomy) is explicitly indicated within the XBRL instance. There were about 10 filers who created [Table]s in which every fact value reported were placed.

IBM (results where as expected):

**Information Model Validation for International Business Machines Corporation 0001104659-09-060554**[Go to Presentation Network](#)

Network	Table	Has [Axis]	Has [Line Items]
0010 - Statement - Consolidated Statement of Earnings	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
0020 - Statement - Consolidated Statement of Financial Position	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
0030 - Statement - Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes

TEXTRON INC (results where as expected):

### Information Model Validation for TEXTRON INC 0000217346-09-000156

[Go to Presentation Network](#)

Network	Table	Has [Axis]	Has [Line Items]
01 - Statement - Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
02 - Statement - Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
021 - Statement - Consolidated Balance Sheets (Parenthetical) (Unaudited)	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
03 - Statement - Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes

Now, in contrast, here are several which have test results which were not as expected meaning that the XBRL extension taxonomies are not consistent with the US GAAP Taxonomy because they do not follow the rules for [Table]s which were tested.

Google Inc. (has information modeling errors)

### Information Model Validation for Google Inc. 0001193125-09-222384

[Go to Presentation Network](#)

Network	Table	Has [Axis]	Has [Line Items]
101 - Statement - Consolidated Balance Sheets	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
102 - Statement - Consolidated Balance Sheets (Parenthetical)	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
103 - Statement - Consolidated Statements Of Income	Statement [Table]	No	Yes
104 - Statement - Consolidated Statements Of Income (Parenthetical)	Statement [Table]	No	Yes
105 - Statement - Consolidated Statements Of Cash Flows	Statement [Table]	No	Yes
122 - Disclosure - Common Domain Members	Statement [Table]	Yes	No

KEYCORP (has information modeling errors)

### Information Model Validation for KEYCORP /NEW/ 0000950123-09-059334

[Go to Presentation Network](#)

Network	Table	Has [Axis]	Has [Line Items]
01 - Statement - Consolidated Balance Sheets	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
011 - Statement - Consolidated Balance Sheets (Parenthetical)	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
02 - Statement - Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)	Statement [Table]	No	Yes
021 - Statement - Consolidated Statements of Income (Parenthetical) (Unaudited)	Statement [Table]	No	Yes
03 - Statement - Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity (Unaudited)	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
031 - Statement - Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity (Parenthetical) (Unaudited)	Statement [Table]	Yes	Yes
04 - Statement - Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)	Statement [Table]	No	Yes

Western Union CO (has information modeling errors)

**Information Model Validation for Western Union CO 0001193125-09-219024**

[Go to Presentation Network](#)

Network	Table	Has [Axis]	Has [Line Items]
101 - Statement - Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)	Statement [Table]	No	Yes
102 - Statement - Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) (Parenthetical)	Statement [Table]	No	Yes
103 - Statement - Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)	Statement [Table]	No	Yes
104 - Statement - Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) (Parenthetical)	Statement [Table]	No	Yes
105 - Statement - Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)	Statement [Table]	No	Yes

Western Union CO (has information modeling errors)

A summary of all the results can be seen here where the information model for each filer can be viewed:

<http://www.xbrlsite.com/demos/Analysis/2009-11-15/Viewer/Other/InformationModelIssues.html>

From the above link you can navigate to a human readable version of the filer's presentation relations from their XBRL taxonomy.

Of the 403 filings analyzed, for this one information model test, these were the results:

- 27 had no information modeling issues for this test
- 376 had information modeling issues for this test

### 3 Top 10 Errors to Avoid in Creating Your SEC XBRL Filings

As a result of this analysis, I have published a set of recommendations to avoid the types of errors detected in my analysis. You can see those recommendations on my blog here:

<http://xbrl.squarespace.com/journal/2009/11/26/top-10-errors-to-avoid-in-creating-your-sec-xbrl-filings.html>

This is a summary of those recommendations:

1. **Don't use concepts when you should be using contexts to differentiate fact values:** Specifically, you don't want to do something like creating the following two concepts: *my:CashBeginningBalance* and *my:CashEndingBalance*. Bad, bad, bad. You never see this in the US GAAP Taxonomy. Of 403 filers, about 9 made this mistake.
2. **Don't use concepts to differentiate where a concept is used:** Specifically, don't create concepts like this: *my:Cash* and then *my:CashCF* (meaning one cash concept for the balance sheet and another concept which represents the same thing for the cash flow statement).
3. **Stick with US GAAP Taxonomy concepts where appropriate:** There are some filers defining their own terms for things like "cash" and "net change in cash". See this blog post for more information. Only 4 of 403 filers defined a concept to replace the US GAAP Taxonomy cash flow statement which is supposed to contain the value for the net change to cash. That is a pretty good clue (4 of 403 creating a custom concept) that the US GAAP Taxonomy is most likely the way to go. This may not be true in 100% of situations, but from what I saw from those filings, I cannot see why they had to create a new concept. If you do not follow the pack and you create a new concept, a good idea would be to make it clear in the documentation for that concept why you felt that was necessary.
4. **Check your calculations:** When you create your XBRL filing, be sure to run the filing through an XBRL processor which checks the calculations. You can see what the results of these checks looks like here. This is an example of a clean filing with no calculation inconsistencies. This is an example of a calculation inconsistency which indicates which, if compared to the HTML filing, is really an error.
5. **Prove all your computations:** Prove to yourself that all the computations add up correctly. This means not just the XBRL calculations, but also the [Roll Forward]s which cannot be validated by XBRL calculations. Another category of computations which XBRL calculations cannot validate relate to aggregations across dimensions. You need XBRL Formulas in these cases. Use both of these, XBRL calculations and XBRL Formula, to prove that 100% of your computations are correct.
6. **Follow the US GAAP Taxonomy:** If you look at the US GAAP Taxonomy you will notice that it is constructed quite consistently and in very specific ways. You should follow that guidance within your filer extension taxonomy. The SEC or XBRL US do not provide rules to enforce this currently, but they will eventually. An example of what I am talking about here can be seen in this analysis. What this analysis did was to look at one specific area of the US GAAP Taxonomy information model: the [Table]. Per the US GAAP Taxonomy Architecture (see section 4.5 Implementation of Tables on page 38 of that PDF), a [Table] must always have at least one [Axis] and it must have exactly one [Line Items] concept. Further, since the US GAAP Taxonomy uses [Table]s to express every primary financial statement, you would expect that there would be a [Table] for each of those. This is what the test results would look like for a well prepared filing. This is what the test results would look like if you are not following the US GAAP Taxonomy structure for a [Table]. If you want extra credit, model EVERYTHING within a [Table]. There are about 10 filers who already do this. In my view, that is where the US GAAP Taxonomy will be moving to in the future. I

will stop with that recommendation for now, if you want further information on this send me an email or read my blog.

7. **Avoid rounding within the XBRL instance, take care of this when creating the filing:** Of 403 filings that I looked at, only one showed a computation error as a result of rounding. It is far better for filings to add up correctly within the filing than to force investors and analysts to deal with these rounding issues.
8. **Don't stand out in the wrong areas:** Sure, if you have a great financial statement you obviously do want to stand out to analysts. But you don't want to be a stand out for the wrong reasons. For example, of 403 SEC XBRL filers all but 8 followed the US GAAP Taxonomy computation of net change in cash. These 8 seem to be making a mistake from what information I have accumulated. You can decide if this is, or is not, an error for yourself, see this blog post. Far be it from me to say whether the 8 are correct, or the other 395 are correct. Whether this is truly an error or not is not my point. The point is, if you are not following the pack, you really should be able to explain and justify why. If you are comfortable with that explanation you are OK.
9. **Push for software interoperability:** So XBRL Cloud says that 28 filers have errors, per the Edgar Filer Manual, in their filings. Yet, the SEC accepted the filings. How is that possible? XBRL Cloud is confident enough about their validation results that they publish the results on the web for all to see. The SEC publishes a test suite. Other vendors have software and they test their software against the SEC's test suite. Other vendors may disagree with the SEC test suite, I cannot tell for sure because they don't publish results on the web. It will take time for all the software vendors and the SEC to get on the same page in this regard. Eventually, XBRL Cloud, the SEC, and all the other software vendors will have the same validation results. For now, just go for the least common denominator. Take a look at your filing via the XBRL Cloud validation results. Check out the errors which are being reported. If you can make them go away by fixing something, then fix them. Talk to your software vendor and ask them if they feel the errors are valid. I predict that these differences will get resolved over the next six months or so.
10. **Help investors use your information:** In general, do things which make it easier for investors, analysts, and others to use your information. Not quite sure what those things are? Well, this list is a good starting point. Asking analysts is another idea, help them automate their analysis models rather than throw things in that make it harder to automate their analysis. Clearly you don't want to change the meaning of what you are reporting, you still need to properly reflect your financial information, don't change that.



## 4 Tables of Information

The following are various tables of information accumulated from this analysis. Not all tables from the analysis were included due to the size of the information tables. All data can be found here on the web: <http://www.xbrlsite.com/demos/Analysis/2009-11-15/Viewer/>

### 4.1.1 Total Errors, Filings and Errors Per Filing by Creation Software

This table shows the total number of errors, number of filings, and the errors per filing by creation software application.

SoftwareName	Errors	Filings	ErrorsPerFiling
Unknown	0	1	0.0
UBmatrix	28	1	28.0
Rivet	66	41	1.6
Fujitsu	1	57	0.0
EDGARFilings	21	58	0.4
EDGAR Online	132	143	0.9
CompSci	0	1	0.0
Clarity	1	13	0.1
Business Wire	0	4	0.0
Bowne	6	84	0.1
<b>Total/Average</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>403</b>	<b>0.6</b>

### 4.1.2 Errors by FilerName

This is a list of filings with 1 or more errors reported. If filers did NOT have errors, they were not listed. Of 403 filings, 375 had zero errors and are therefore not on this list and 28 had 1 or more errors reported, and are therefore listed.

FilerName	CIK	AsseccentionNumber	Errors	Creation Software
MICROSOFT CORP	0000789019	0001193125-09-212454	38	EDGAR Online
EXELON CORP	0001109357	0001193125-09-212818	35	EDGAR Online
WELLPOINT INC	0001156039	0001193125-09-216157	30	EDGAR Online
ALTRIA GROUP, INC.	0000764180	0001193125-09-217203	28	EDGAR Online
Oracle Corporation	0001341439	0001193125-09-195193	28	UBmatrix
Aflac Incorporated	0000004977	0000950123-09-059412	18	Rivet
Halliburton Company	0000045012	0000045012-09-000390	13	EDGARFilings
PEABODY ENERGY CORP	0001064728	0000950123-09-058979	7	Rivet
EL PASO CORP/DE	0001066107	0000950123-09-059588	6	Rivet
V F CORP	0000103379	0000950123-09-060619	6	Rivet
RRI ENERGY INC	0001126294	0000950123-09-058104	5	Rivet
Vulcan Materials CO	0001396009	0000950123-09-058668	5	Rivet
WATERS CORP /DE/	0001000697	0000950123-09-058936	5	Rivet
SOUTHERN CO	0000003153	0000092122-09-000089	5	Rivet
ARCH COAL INC	0001037676	0000950123-09-060325	5	Rivet
CONAGRA FOODS INC /DE/	0000023217	0000950123-09-048892	4	Bowne
YUM BRANDS INC	0001041061	0001041061-09-000240	3	EDGARFilings
GRAINGER W W INC,	0000277135	0000277135-09-000043	2	EDGARFilings
ADOBE SYSTEMS INC	0000796343	0000796343-09-000032	2	Bowne
Public Storage	0001393311	0001393311-09-000035	2	Rivet
DIRECTV GROUP INC	0000944868	0001047469-09-009634	1	Rivet
FedEx Corporation	0001048911	0000950123-09-044076	1	EDGARFilings
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC	0001090872	0001140361-09-022500	1	EDGARFilings
PROCTER & GAMBLE CO	0000080424	0001193125-09-217067	1	EDGAR Online
Liberty Media Corporation and Subsidiaries	0001355096	0001047469-09-009800	1	Rivet
EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO	0000915389	0000915389-09-000070	1	EDGARFilings
General Electric Company	0000040545	0000040545-09-000074	1	Clarity
ARCHER DANIELS MIDLAND CO	0000007084	0000007084-09-000061	1	Fujitsu
		Total	255	

### 4.1.3 Errors by Strata

This table lists a stratification of errors by the number of errors within each filing.

Strata	Filings	Percent of Total
Filings with more than 11 errors per filing	7	2%
Filings with between 6 and 10	3	1%
Filings with between 4 and 5	6	1%
Filings with between 2 and 3	4	1%
Filings with only 1 error	8	2%
Filings with NO ERRORS	375	93%
	<b>403</b>	<b>100%</b>

### 4.1.4 Errors by Error Type

This table lists errors reported by type of error and a count of each. The table is sorted by error count in descending order.

SoftwareName	MessageName	ErrorDescription	Count
EDGAR Online	edgar-e:InvalidLabelContentWhitespace	This label MUST NOT have a) leading or trailing whitespace; b) sequential embedded whitespace (for example two spaces in a row) or c) whitespace that is not a SPACE character (such as a TAB)	67
EDGAR Online	edgar-e:LinkRoleTypeDefinitionContainsExtraneousWhitespace	The link:definition MUST NOT have leading or trailing XML whitespace or newlines.	65
Rivet	edgar-e:InvalidDomainMemberCycles	The xlink:arcrole attribute 'http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/domain-member' must have no undirected cycles in any Dimensional Relationship Set as defined in XBRL Dimensions 1.0	65
EDGARFilings	edgar-e:InvalidLabelContentWhitespace	This label MUST NOT have a) leading or trailing whitespace; b) sequential embedded whitespace (for example two spaces in a row) or c) whitespace that is not a SPACE character (such as a TAB)	14
UBmatrix	edgarv11-e:TextBlockInvalidXml	If the text content of a fact with base type types:textBlockItemType contains the "<" character followed by an NCName and whitespace, then after un-escaping the text, the content must contain only well-formed XML.	13
Bowne	edgar-e:InvalidLabelContentWhitespace	This label MUST NOT have a) leading or trailing whitespace; b) sequential embedded whitespace (for example two spaces in a row) or c) whitespace that is not a SPACE character (such as a TAB)	6
EDGARFilings	edgar-e:LinkRoleTypeDefinitionContainsExtraneousWhitespace	The link:definition MUST NOT have leading or trailing XML whitespace or newlines.	3
EDGARFilings	edgar-e:NonAsciiContent	All content MUST be ASCII	2
Clarity	edgar-e:InvalidDomainMemberCycles	The xlink:arcrole attribute 'http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/domain-member' must have no undirected cycles in any Dimensional Relationship Set as defined in XBRL Dimensions 1.0	1
EDGARFilings	edgar-dei-e:InvalidEntityCentralIndexKeyContent	The contents of the dei:EntityCentralIndexKey fact in the Required Context must equal the content of the xbrli:identifier element in that context.	1
EDGARFilings	edgarv11-e:TextBlockInvalidXml		1
Fujitsu	edgar-e:InvalidDomainMemberCycles	The xlink:arcrole attribute 'http://xbrl.org/int/dim/arcrole/domain-member' must have no undirected cycles in any Dimensional Relationship Set as defined in XBRL Dimensions 1.0	1

## Toward Creating Investor Friendly XBRL: Analysis of 403 SEC XBRL Filings

Rivet	edgar-e:InvalidXhtmlBodyContent	Facts of type "text block" whose un-escaped content contains markup must satisfy the content model of the BODY tag as defined in 5.2.2 [2:1:Attribute name "s" associated with an element type "div" must be followed by the '=' character.]	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:DocumentPeriodEndDate	Filers MUST use the DEI DocumentPeriodEndDate element to tag the document's end date of report or period of filing.	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:CurrentFiscalYearEndDate	Filers MUST use the DEI CurrentFiscalYearEndDate element to identify the company's fiscal year end date.	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:DocumentType	Filers MUST use the DEI DocumentType element to identify the document (form) type that is represented by this document.	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:EntityCentralIndexKey	Filers MUST use the DEI EntityCentralIndexKey element to identify the company's CIK (central index key) code.	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:EntityCommonStockSharesOutstanding	Filers MUST use the DEI EntityCommonStockSharesOutstanding element to disclose the number of shares outstanding for each of the registrant's classes of common stock.	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:EntityCurrentReportingStatus		1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:EntityFilerCategory	Filers MUST use the DEI EntityFilerCategory element to identify whether the filer is a large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company.	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:EntityPublicFloat	Filers MUST use the DEI EntityPublicFloat element to disclose the company's public float amount. The value of an EntityPublicFloat fact in an instance will be 0 for an entity that has only public debt.	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:EntityRegistrantName	Filers MUST use the DEI EntityRegistrantName element to enter the company's name.	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:EntityVoluntaryFilers	Filers MUST use the DEI EntityVoluntaryFilers element to indicate whether the company is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:EntityWellKnownSeasonedIssuer	Filers MUST use the DEI EntityWellKnownSeasonedIssuer element to indicate whether the company meets the well-known seasoned issuer criteria noted in Rule 405 of Regulation C under the Securities Act of 1933 [17 CFR 230.405].	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-e:InvalidPreferredPrefix	Element xsd:schema must bind a Recommended Namespace Prefix for the targetNamespace attribute that does not contain the underscore character.	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-e:InvalidSchemaFileNameFormat	Each XML schema document MUST have a document file name formatted like: <Ticker Symbol><more letters>-CCYYMMDD.xsd. Invalid Document Name: http://www.w3.org/2001/xml.xsd	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-e:InvalidTargetNamespaceVersionDate	The targetNamespace attribute must be a valid URI with a <versionDate> in ISO 8601 format that identifies the release date of the schema.	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:AmendmentFlag	Filers MUST use the DEI AmendmentFlag element to indicate the whether the document is an amendment.	1
Total			255

### 4.1.5 Errors by Error Type, Summary

This is the same as the previous table without the description which makes the table easier to read.

SoftwareName	MessageName	Count
EDGAR Online	edgar-e:InvalidLabelContentWhitespace	67
EDGAR Online	edgar-e:LinkRoleTypeDefinitionContainsExtraneousWhitespace	65



Rivet	edgar-e:InvalidDomainMemberCycles	65
EDGARFilings	edgar-e:InvalidLabelContentWhitespace	14
UBmatrix	edgarv11-e:TextBlockInvalidXml	13
Bowne	edgar-e:InvalidLabelContentWhitespace	6
EDGARFilings	edgar-e:LinkRoleTypeDefinitionContainsExtraneousWhitespace	3
EDGARFilings	edgar-e:NonAsciiContent	2
Clarity	edgar-e:InvalidDomainMemberCycles	1
EDGARFilings	edgar-dei-e:InvalidEntityCentralIndexKeyContent	1
EDGARFilings	edgarv11-e:TextBlockInvalidXml	1
Fujitsu	edgar-e:InvalidDomainMemberCycles	1
Rivet	edgar-e:InvalidXhtmlBodyContent	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:AmendmentFlag	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:CurrentFiscalYearEndDate	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:DocumentPeriodEndDate	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:DocumentType	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:EntityCentralIndexKey	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:EntityCommonStockSharesOutstanding	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:EntityCurrentReportingStatus	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:EntityFilerCategory	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:EntityPublicFloat	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:EntityRegistrantName	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:EntityVoluntaryFilers	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-dei-e:EntityWellKnownSeasonedIssuer	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-e:InvalidPreferredPrefix	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-e:InvalidSchemaFileNameFormat	1
UBmatrix	edgarv11-e:InvalidTargetNamespaceVersionDate	1
		255

#### 4.1.6 No Errors, Calculation Inconsistencies or Formula Errors

This table lists all filings with zero errors, zero calculation inconsistencies, and zero test formula errors. There were 283 such SEC XBRL filings of the 403 analyzed.

FilerName	Submission Errors	Calculation Inconsistencies	Formula Errors	SoftwareName
Viacom Inc.	0	0	0	Unknown
ASSURANT INC.	0	0	0	Rivet
AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING INC	0	0	0	Rivet
Clorox Co /DE/	0	0	0	Rivet
COACH INC	0	0	0	Rivet
CORNING INC /NY	0	0	0	Rivet
CROWN CASTLE INTERNATIONAL CORP	0	0	0	Rivet
DIRECTV HOLDINGS LLC	0	0	0	Rivet
Dupont E I De Nemours & Co	0	0	0	Rivet
ENSCO International Incorporated	0	0	0	Rivet
FMC Corporation	0	0	0	Rivet
Foster Wheeler AG	0	0	0	Rivet
Garmin Ltd.	0	0	0	Rivet
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.	0	0	0	Rivet
MEDCO HEALTH SOLUTIONS INC	0	0	0	Rivet
MOTOROLA INC	0	0	0	Rivet
NII HOLDINGS INC	0	0	0	Rivet
Starwood Hotel & Resorts Worldwide Inc	0	0	0	Rivet

# Toward Creating Investor Friendly XBRL: Analysis of 403 SEC XBRL Filings

SYMANTEC CORP	0	0	0	Rivet
Sysco Corporation	0	0	0	Rivet
VORNADO REALTY TRUST	0	0	0	Rivet
3M Company	0	0	0	Fujitsu
ABBOTT LABORATORIES	0	0	0	Fujitsu
Activision Blizzard, Inc.	0	0	0	Fujitsu
ALLSTATE CORP	0	0	0	Fujitsu
AMERIPRISE FINANCIAL INC	0	0	0	Fujitsu
AMPHENOL CORP /DE/	0	0	0	Fujitsu
Aon Corp	0	0	0	Fujitsu
BED BATH & BEYOND INC.	0	0	0	Fujitsu
Best Buy Co Inc	0	0	0	Fujitsu
CF Industries Holdings, Inc.	0	0	0	Fujitsu
CIMAREX ENERGY CO.	0	0	0	Fujitsu
Citigroup Inc.	0	0	0	Fujitsu
COCA COLA CO	0	0	0	Fujitsu
CONSTELLATION ENERGY GROUP INC	0	0	0	Fujitsu
Covance Inc.	0	0	0	Fujitsu
CUMMINS INC	0	0	0	Fujitsu
ECOLAB INC	0	0	0	Fujitsu
EDISON INTERNATIONAL	0	0	0	Fujitsu
EDISON MISSION ENERGY	0	0	0	Fujitsu
EME HOMER CITY GENERATION LP	0	0	0	Fujitsu
EQT Corp	0	0	0	Fujitsu
FLUOR CORP	0	0	0	Fujitsu
FOREST OIL CORP	0	0	0	Fujitsu
GENERAL GROWTH PROPERTIES INC	0	0	0	Fujitsu
GENZYME CORPORATION	0	0	0	Fujitsu
HCP, INC.	0	0	0	Fujitsu
HOSPIRA INC	0	0	0	Fujitsu
ICU MEDICAL INC/DE	0	0	0	Fujitsu
LEGG MASON INC	0	0	0	Fujitsu
MIDWEST GENERATION LLC	0	0	0	Fujitsu
NORTHROP GRUMMAN CORP /DE/	0	0	0	Fujitsu
OCCIDENTAL PETROLEUM CORP /DE/	0	0	0	Fujitsu
OWENS ILLINOIS INC /DE/	0	0	0	Fujitsu
PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE LP	0	0	0	Fujitsu
Qwest Communications International Inc.	0	0	0	Fujitsu
Sempra Energy	0	0	0	Fujitsu
Shire plc	0	0	0	Fujitsu
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CO	0	0	0	Fujitsu
SOUTHERN COPPER CORP/	0	0	0	Fujitsu
SPX CORPORATION	0	0	0	Fujitsu
Steel Dynamics Inc	0	0	0	Fujitsu
TEREX CORP	0	0	0	Fujitsu
Travelers Companies, Inc.	0	0	0	Fujitsu
UNION PACIFIC CORPORATION	0	0	0	Fujitsu
AGL RESOURCES INC	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
AK Steel Holding Corporation	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
Alpha Natural Resources, Inc.	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
AT&T INC.	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
CAMERON INTERNATIONAL CORP	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
COLGATE PALMOLIVE CO	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
CSX CORP	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
DENTSPLY INTERNATIONAL INC /DE/	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
DOW CHEMICAL CO /DE/	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
ENTERGY CORP /DE/	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
FirstEnergy Corp.	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
FOREST LABORATORIES INC	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
FREEPORT MCMORAN COPPER & GOLD INC	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
KINDER MORGAN ENERGY PARTNERS L P	0	0	0	EDGARFilings

# Toward Creating Investor Friendly XBRL: Analysis of 403 SEC XBRL Filings

MANNATECH INC	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
MDU RESOURCES GROUP INC	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
MICROCHIP TECHNOLOGY INC	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
ONEOK INC /NEW/	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
ONEOK Partners LP	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
PRIDE INTERNATIONAL INC	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
PROGRESS ENERGY INC	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
QUALCOMM INC/DE	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
Questar Corporation	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
ROWAN COMPANIES INC	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
Transocean Ltd.	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
XEROX CORP	0	0	0	EDGARFilings
AES CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
ALCOA INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
ALLEGHENY ENERGY, INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
ALLERGAN INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
ALTERA CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
AMAZON COM INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
AMEDISYS INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
AMEREN CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
AMERICAN EXPRESS CO	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
AMERICAN TOWER CORP /MA/	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
AMGEN INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
APPLE INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
AVON PRODUCTS INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
BANK OF AMERICA CORP /DE/	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
BARD C R INC /NJ/	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
BB&T CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
BLACKROCK INC.	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
BMC SOFTWARE INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
BOEING CO	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
BOSTON PROPERTIES INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
BOSTON PROPERTIES LTD PARTNERSHIP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
BRISTOL MYERS SQUIBB CO	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
BUCYRUS INTERNATIONAL INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
C H ROBINSON WORLDWIDE INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
CARDINAL HEALTH INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
CARNIVAL CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
CITRIX SYSTEMS INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
CLIFFS NATURAL RESOURCES INC.	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
CNX Gas Corp	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
COCA COLA ENTERPRISES INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
COGNIZANT TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
CONSOL Energy Inc	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
CVS CAREMARK CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
DANAHER CORP /DE/	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
DAVITA INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
Discover Financial Services	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
Duke Energy CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
EBAY INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
EMC CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
ENERGEN CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
EQUITY RESIDENTIAL	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
ERP OPERATING LTD PARTNERSHIP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
EXPEDITORS INTERNATIONAL OF WASHINGTON INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
EXXON MOBIL CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
FASTENAL CO	0	0	0	EDGAR Online

## Toward Creating Investor Friendly XBRL: Analysis of 403 SEC XBRL Filings

FIFTH THIRD BANCORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
FLIR SYSTEMS INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
FMC TECHNOLOGIES INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
FORTUNE BRANDS INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
GENERAL DYNAMICS CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
GENWORTH FINANCIAL INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
GILEAD SCIENCES INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
Google Inc.	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
HARLEY DAVIDSON INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
HOST HOTELS & RESORTS, INC.	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
HUMANA INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
IMPERIAL OIL LTD	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
Ingersoll-Rand plc	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
INTERCONTINENTALEXCHANGE INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
INTUITIVE SURGICAL INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
KELLOGG CO	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
KRAFT FOODS INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
LOCKHEED MARTIN CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
LOEWS CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL INC /MD/	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
MARSH & MCLENNAN COMPANIES, INC.	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
MATTEL INC /DE/	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
MCDONALDS CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
MEMC ELECTRONIC MATERIALS INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
MIRANT CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
MORGAN STANLEY	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
MURPHY OIL CORP /DE	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
NETFLIX INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANCORP INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
NORTHERN TRUST CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
NUCOR CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
NYSE EURONEXT	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
PARKER HANNIFIN CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
People's United Financial, Inc.	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
PEPSICO INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
PETROHAWK ENERGY CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
Philip Morris International Inc.	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
PIONEER NATURAL RESOURCES CO	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
PLAINS EXPLORATION & PRODUCTION CO	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
PNC FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
PPG INDUSTRIES INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
PRAXAIR INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
PRECISION CASTPARTS CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
PROGRESSIVE CORP/OH/	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
PUBLIX SUPER MARKETS INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
REGIONS FINANCIAL CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
RR Donnelley & Sons Co	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
SAFEWAY INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
SANDRIDGE ENERGY INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
SARA LEE CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
SCHWAB CHARLES CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
SIGMA ALDRICH CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
SPECTRA ENERGY CORP.	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
SPRINT NEXTEL CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
STATE STREET Corp	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
STRYKER CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
SUNTRUST BANKS INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
Tim Hortons Inc.	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
TORCHMARK CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
UNITED STATES STEEL CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
UNITEDHEALTH GROUP INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
Unum Group	0	0	0	EDGAR Online

# Toward Creating Investor Friendly XBRL: Analysis of 403 SEC XBRL Filings

VERISIGN INC/CA	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
Western Union CO	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
WINDSTREAM CORP	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
XTO ENERGY INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
YAHOO INC	0	0	0	EDGAR Online
ACE LTD	0	0	0	Clarity
ALLEGHENY TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED	0	0	0	Clarity
CIGNA Corporation	0	0	0	Clarity
FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION FANNIE MAE	0	0	0	Clarity
General Mills, Inc.	0	0	0	Clarity
Marathon Oil Corporation	0	0	0	Clarity
PITNEY BOWES INC /DE/	0	0	0	Clarity
Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.	0	0	0	Clarity
Time Warner Inc.	0	0	0	Clarity
Ultra Petroleum Corp.	0	0	0	Clarity
UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP /DE/	0	0	0	Clarity
AMERICAN PUBLIC EDUCATION INC	0	0	0	Business Wire
ANNALY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT INC	0	0	0	Business Wire
PFIZER INC	0	0	0	Business Wire
AMETEK INC/	0	0	0	Bowne
APACHE CORP	0	0	0	Bowne
ART TECHNOLOGY GROUP INC	0	0	0	Bowne
BAKER HUGHES INC	0	0	0	Bowne
BAXTER INTERNATIONAL INC	0	0	0	Bowne
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP	0	0	0	Bowne
BOTTLING GROUP LLC	0	0	0	Bowne
BOWNE & CO INC	0	0	0	Bowne
BROADCOM CORP	0	0	0	Bowne
BURLINGTON NORTHERN SANTA FE CORP	0	0	0	Bowne
CA, INC.	0	0	0	Bowne
CABOT OIL & GAS CORP	0	0	0	Bowne
CELGENE CORP /DE/	0	0	0	Bowne
CHEVRON CORP	0	0	0	Bowne
CHUBB CORP	0	0	0	Bowne
DENBURY RESOURCES INC	0	0	0	Bowne
DIAMOND OFFSHORE DRILLING INC	0	0	0	Bowne
EATON CORP	0	0	0	Bowne
Fidelity National Information Services, Inc.	0	0	0	Bowne
FIRST SOLAR, INC.	0	0	0	Bowne
FLEXTRONICS INTERNATIONAL LTD.	0	0	0	Bowne
FLOWERVE CORP	0	0	0	Bowne
GANNETT CO INC /DE/	0	0	0	Bowne
GENUINE PARTS CO	0	0	0	Bowne
GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP INC	0	0	0	Bowne
GOODRICH CORPORATION	0	0	0	Bowne
HARRIS CORP /DE/	0	0	0	Bowne
HESS CORP	0	0	0	Bowne
HUDSON CITY BANCORP INC	0	0	0	Bowne
Intel Corporation	0	0	0	Bowne
J P MORGAN CHASE & CO	0	0	0	Bowne
JOHNSON & JOHNSON	0	0	0	Bowne
JUNIPER NETWORKS INC	0	0	0	Bowne
LEUCADIA NATIONAL CORP	0	0	0	Bowne
LORILLARD, INC.	0	0	0	Bowne
M&T BANK CORP	0	0	0	Bowne
McAfee, Inc.	0	0	0	Bowne
MCGRAW-HILL COMPANIES INC	0	0	0	Bowne
MCKESSON CORP	0	0	0	Bowne



MERCK & CO INC	0	0	0	Bowne
MERRILL LYNCH & CO., INC.	0	0	0	Bowne
NABORS INDUSTRIES LTD	0	0	0	Bowne
NATIONAL OILWELL VARCO INC	0	0	0	Bowne
NEWMONT MINING CORP /DE/	0	0	0	Bowne
NRG ENERGY, INC.	0	0	0	Bowne
PATTERSON UTI ENERGY INC	0	0	0	Bowne
PAYCHEX, INC.	0	0	0	Bowne
PEPSI BOTTLING GROUP INC	0	0	0	Bowne
RANGE RESOURCES CORP	0	0	0	Bowne
REPUBLIC SERVICES, INC.	0	0	0	Bowne
REYNOLDS AMERICAN INC	0	0	0	Bowne
SCHERING PLOUGH CORP	0	0	0	Bowne
SMITH INTERNATIONAL INC	0	0	0	Bowne
SUPERVALU INC	0	0	0	Bowne
US BANCORP \DE\	0	0	0	Bowne
VALERO ENERGY CORP/TX	0	0	0	Bowne
WASTE MANAGEMENT INC	0	0	0	Bowne
Weatherford International Ltd./Switzerland	0	0	0	Bowne
WESTERN DIGITAL CORP	0	0	0	Bowne
WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP	0	0	0	Bowne
ZIMMER HOLDINGS INC	0	0	0	Bowne

#### 4.1.7 Table of Calculation Inconsistencies

This table lists all filings with 1 or more calculation inconsistencies reported. Of 403 filings, 90 had 1 or more such calculation inconsistencies.

FilerName	AsseccentionNumber	Inconsistencies	SoftwareName
FORD MOTOR CO	0001140361-09-025042	38	EDGARFilings
ADOBE SYSTEMS INC	0000796343-09-000032	37	Bowne
WILLIAMS COMPANIES INC	0000950123-09-054484	31	Rivet
International Business Machines Corporation	0001104659-09-060554	23	Fujitsu
KBR, Inc.	0001140361-09-024015	23	EDGARFilings
ProLogis	0000950123-09-057647	23	Rivet
JONES APPAREL GROUP INC	0000874016-09-000059	21	EDGARFilings
MASSEY ENERGY CO	0000037748-09-000051	21	EDGARFilings
TEXTRON INC	0000217346-09-000156	19	Bowne
Noble Energy Inc	0000072207-09-000038	17	EDGARFilings
WATERS CORP /DE/	0000950123-09-058936	16	Rivet
Southwest Airlines Co.	0000092380-09-000048	14	EDGARFilings
AETNA INC /PA/	0001122304-09-000105	14	EDGARFilings
Southwest Airlines Co.	0000092380-09-000045	14	EDGARFilings
EL PASO CORP/DE	0000950123-09-059588	13	Rivet
EXELON CORP	0001193125-09-212818	12	EDGAR Online
Liberty Media Corporation and Subsidiaries	0001047469-09-009800	12	Rivet
Boardwalk Pipeline Partners, LP	0001336047-09-000053	10	EDGARFilings
PACCAR INC	0001193125-09-225269	10	EDGAR Online
Illinois Tool Works Inc	0000049826-09-000091	10	Rivet
ROPER INDUSTRIES INC	0000882835-09-000045	9	EDGARFilings
FPL GROUP INC	0000753308-09-000122	9	EDGARFilings
PEPCO HOLDINGS INC	0001193125-09-217423	6	EDGAR Online
MASCO CORP /DE/	0000950123-09-054773	6	Bowne
CONSOLIDATED EDISON INC	0001193125-09-219909	6	EDGAR Online
OPEN TEXT CORP	0001193125-09-216185	6	EDGARFilings
INTERNATIONAL PAPER CO /NEW/	0001193125-09-227000	6	EDGARFilings
PLUM CREEK TIMBER CO INC	0001193125-09-223862	6	EDGAR Online

# Toward Creating Investor Friendly XBRL: Analysis of 403 SEC XBRL Filings

GRAINGER W W INC,	0000277135-09-000043	5	EDGARFilings
KEYCORP /NEW/	0000950123-09-059334	5	Bowne
ARCH COAL INC	0000950123-09-060325	4	Rivet
Southwestern Energy Co	0000007332-09-000034	4	Rivet
Kimco Realty Corporation	0001398432-09-000470	4	CompSci
KIMBERLY CLARK CORP	0000055785-09-000039	4	EDGARFilings
Caterpillar Inc.	0000018230-09-000466	4	EDGARFilings
PG&E CORP	0001004980-09-000102	4	EDGARFilings
Cooper Industries plc	0000950123-09-058426	4	Bowne
WEYERHAEUSER CO	0001193125-09-225868	4	EDGAR Online
DOVER CORP	0000950123-09-052556	4	Bowne
CENTERPOINT ENERGY INC	0001130310-09-000049	3	EDGARFilings
WELLS FARGO & CO/MN	0000950123-09-059235	3	Bowne
RAYTHEON CO/	0001193125-09-212087	3	EDGAR Online
LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP	0000059558-09-000148	3	EDGARFilings
HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE	0000950123-09-056913	3	Bowne
FISERV INC	0001193125-09-227470	3	EDGAR Online
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC	0001140361-09-022500	3	EDGARFilings
MOODYS CORP /DE/	0001193125-09-224228	2	EDGAR Online
NIKE INC	0001193125-09-205690	2	EDGAR Online
PEABODY ENERGY CORP	0000950123-09-058979	2	Rivet
ALTRIA GROUP, INC.	0001193125-09-217203	2	EDGAR Online
AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC	0001047469-09-009659	2	Fujitsu
OMNICOM GROUP INC.	0000891092-09-003997	2	Rivet
AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES INC	0000950123-09-059493	2	EDGARFilings
BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY INC	0001157523-09-007839	2	Business Wire
EASTMAN CHEMICAL CO	0000915389-09-000070	2	EDGARFilings
SIMON PROPERTY GROUP INC /DE/	0001047469-09-009591	2	Fujitsu
AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER CO INC	0000004904-09-000174	2	EDGARFilings
Discovery Communications, Inc.	0000950123-09-056946	2	Bowne
BioScrip, Inc.	0001014739-09-000045	2	EDGARFilings
DIRECTV GROUP INC	0001047469-09-009634	2	Rivet
Lilly Eli & Co	0000950123-09-055409	2	Rivet
PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC	0001104659-09-062402	2	Fujitsu
MOLSON COORS BREWING CO	0001047469-09-009543	2	Fujitsu
Bunge LTD	0001104659-09-063755	2	Fujitsu
Norfolk Southern Corporation	0000702165-09-000170	2	Rivet
LABORATORY CORP OF AMERICA HOLDINGS	0000920148-09-000075	2	EDGARFilings
NEWFIELD EXPLORATION CO /DE/	0000912750-09-000076	2	EDGARFilings
KANSAS CITY SOUTHERN	0000950123-09-054928	2	Bowne
BORGWARNER INC.	0000950123-09-053981	2	Bowne
COMCAST CORP	0001193125-09-223314	2	EDGAR Online
SLM CORP	0000950123-09-057759	2	Bowne
Halliburton Company	0000045012-09-000390	2	EDGARFilings
EXPRESS SCRIPTS INC	0000950123-09-054167	2	Bowne
L 3 COMMUNICATIONS HOLDINGS INC	0000950123-09-057422	2	Bowne
MASTERCARD INC	0001193125-09-222058	2	EDGAR Online
Liberty Global, Inc.	0001193125-09-223922	2	EDGAR Online
CRAWFORD & CO	0000950123-09-059975	2	Bowne
UNITED PARCEL SERVICE INC	0001193125-09-227554	2	EDGAR Online
CME GROUP INC.	0001193125-09-227674	2	EDGAR Online
CBS CORP	0001047469-09-009610	2	Fujitsu
NEWS CORP	0001193125-09-224062	2	EDGAR Online
Bank of New York Mellon CORP	0001193125-09-227567	2	EDGAR Online
CHESAPEAKE ENERGY CORP	0001193125-09-229377	2	EDGAR Online
PRUDENTIAL FINANCIAL INC	0001193125-09-227557	2	EDGAR Online
DISH Network CORP	0000950123-09-059772	2	Bowne
Noble Corporation	0000950123-09-058947	1	Bowne
SCHLUMBERGER LTD /NV/	0001193125-09-215771	1	EDGAR Online
CABLEVISION SYSTEMS CORP /NY	0001104659-09-062216	1	Fujitsu
PRICE T ROWE GROUP INC	0000950123-09-052559	1	Rivet

CONOCOPHILLIPS	0000950123-09-056991	1	Rivet
----------------	----------------------	---	-------

#### 4.1.8 Table of Calculation Inconsistencies by Creation Software

This table lists a summary of reported calculation inconsistencies by filing creation software.

SoftwareName	CountOfInconsistencies
EDGARFilings	27
EDGAR Online	21
Bowne	17
Rivet	15
Fujitsu	8
CompSci	1
Business Wire	1
	90

#### 4.1.9 Table of Test Formula Results

This table lists a summary of results from testing a filing against one test XBRL Formula. The test formula was the roll forward of the cash flow statement: Beginning cash + changes in cash for period = ending cash. The expected results were to have at least two XBRL Formulas fire and the results of each firing to be "satisfied". This is a list of the unexpected results, these are NOT necessarily errors, but rather items which would point out a need for further investigation.

The point is, however, that of 403 filings, 345 did provide the expected results and only 58 provided some other result of this one test XBRL Formula.

FilerName	FormulaResult	Resolution
VENTAS INC	Has unsatisfied formula	
Biogen Idec Inc.	Has unsatisfied formula	
XCEL ENERGY INC	Has unsatisfied formula	
FISERV INC	Has unsatisfied formula	
NEWS CORP	Has unsatisfied formula	
Walter Energy, Inc.	Has unsatisfied formula	
Invesco Ltd.	Has unsatisfied formula	
RRI ENERGY INC	Has unsatisfied formula	
GRAFTECH INTERNATIONAL LTD	Has unsatisfied formula	
MOLSON COORS BREWING CO	Has unsatisfied formula	
QUANTA SERVICES INC	Has unsatisfied formula	
Public Storage	Has unsatisfied formula	
YUM BRANDS INC	Has unsatisfied formula	
METLIFE INC	Has unsatisfied formula	
Questar Corporation	No formula fired	
KEYCORP /NEW/	No formula fired	
FIFTH THIRD BANCORP	No formula fired	
CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORP	No formula fired	
INTERNATIONAL PAPER CO /NEW/	No formula fired	
Citigroup Inc.	No formula fired	Filer added custom concept for changes in cash.

## Toward Creating Investor Friendly XBRL: Analysis of 403 SEC XBRL Filings

STATE STREET Corp	No formula fired	
Travelers Companies, Inc.	No formula fired	
CABOT OIL & GAS CORP	No formula fired	
PROGRESSIVE CORP/OH/	No formula fired	
UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP /DE/ AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC	No formula fired	Filer added custom concept for changes in cash.
NORTHERN TRUST CORP	No formula fired	
ALLSTATE CORP	No formula fired	Filer added custom concept for changes in cash.
Southwest Airlines Co.	No formula fired	
PNC FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC	No formula fired	
HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE	No formula fired	
CHUBB CORP	No formula fired	
GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP INC	No formula fired	
LOEWS CORP	No formula fired	
Unum Group	No formula fired	
CABLEVISION SYSTEMS CORP /NY	No formula fired	
WELLS FARGO & CO/MN	No formula fired	
TEREX CORP	No formula fired	
PETROHAWK ENERGY CORP	No formula fired	
J P MORGAN CHASE & CO	No formula fired	
LINCOLN NATIONAL CORP	Only 1 formula fired	
DOMINION RESOURCES INC /VA/	Only 1 formula fired	
General Electric Company	Only 1 formula satisfied	
General Electric Company	Only 1 formula satisfied	
International Business Machines Corporation	Only 1 formula satisfied	
THE KROGER CO.	Only 1 formula satisfied	
FedEx Corporation	Only 1 formula satisfied	
CONAGRA FOODS INC /DE/	Only 1 formula satisfied	
ROPER INDUSTRIES INC	Only 1 formula satisfied	
STRYKER CORP	Only 1 formula satisfied	
EQT Corp	Only 1 formula satisfied	
ENTERPRISE PRODUCTS PARTNERS L P	Only 1 formula satisfied	
PPL CORP	Only 1 formula satisfied	
DTE ENERGY CO	Only 1 formula satisfied	
PAPA JOHNS INTERNATIONAL INC	Only 1 formula satisfied	

## A References

[ANALYSIS]

Analysis of 403 SEC XBRL Filings:

<http://www.xbrlsite.com/demos/Analysis/2009-11-15/Viewer/>

[US GAAP  
Taxonomy  
Architecture]

<http://xbrl.us/Documents/SECOFM-USGAAPT-Architecture-20080428.pdf>

[SEC Interactive  
Data Test Suite]

SEC Interactive Data Test Suite,

[http://www.sec.gov/spotlight/xbrl/interactive\\_data\\_test\\_suite.shtml](http://www.sec.gov/spotlight/xbrl/interactive_data_test_suite.shtml)



## B Document History

Date	Editor	Summary
2009-11-27	Hoffman	Initial draft of document.

DRAFT